~~B.J. Gen.~~

App.F.IX-4]

1924.

File No. 269-24

Previous References. (Mugtai)

Serial Nos. 1—14

Mug 101-24-30, 31, 35-26

Later references.

14-26-1

Mug 264-32-11-1

Same Dept 65-41,

Same Dept 105-24-1-12.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos.

Part B for January 1926

SUBJECT

Petition from Mr. Shama Rao, Dharmadarsi of Sri Ganapathy Swami temple, Fort, Bangalore city complaining that a large sum of temple money has been embezzled. Etc.

No. 908 dated 25.1.1926

To the Mug. Comr.

Requests to submit a detailed report to the Govt. in the matter.

D. 1624

Imz. 107-24-17

The petitioner refers to previous petitions presented to the Offg. Dewan. One petition so presented is attached. As it is incoherent etc., it was directed to be lodged. Another petition previously presented was destroyed ~~xxxxxx~~ under the Offg. Dewan's instructions as it was very objectionably worded and meant for perusal by the Offg. Dewan only (who seemed to be acquainted with the petitioner).

There are no papers in the Secretariat and, if so ordered, a report will be called for from the District Magistrate.

Submitted.

9.4.25.

The papers marked by the Dewan in the petition refer to a dishonl by the Dy. Bangalore

10/4/25

Dy Secy with compts

14/4/25

G.R.S. III—3]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

D/S. Subject.
AH
18.4.25

Issue
20/4/25

N B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form

G.P.S. III—3]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

Ph. 2. To The Mang. Comm". No. 1533
d. 23.4.25. From Petr" Engd" No. 1624
addressed to Rawson for report in
centre of this office No. 1478/Mang.
101-24-36 d. 9.4.25.

Ph. 3. To The Petr" H. Shows Rao
No. 1534 d. 23.4.25. Enquires
him of the above.

From, the Engr Comr.
Letter no 1221 dy 15/5/25.
Submits the report
called for in the
above.

The Dy Engr Comr's
letter explains the
facts of the case.

Muzrai Secretariat | Muz. File No. 269 of 1924

Serial No.

The pets's. complaints are

(1) that a sum of Rs 16000/ odd being the Sulaka earnings ever since 1903 belonging to Sri Anjaneya Swamy in Fort, Bangalore. temple, have been misappropriated by Mahant Narasimha Bharathi, and

(2) that during local enquiry of this case by the Sub Divl Officer on 22-10-1924. Some forged documents were produced etc.

The Deman has ordered that a report should be called for

The Insp. Comr has not given his opinion on the pets. complaints, and the forged documents

NOTE—Contn. sheet

WD 0561—GPB—3750—1 6 22

Muzrai Secretariat | Muz. File No. 109 of 1925

Serial No.

and the records of enquiry have not been received. May a further report & the records be called for?

The petr Mr Shama Rao is a retired Official of the Mysore State Depn enjoying a pension.

Submitted for orders.

28.5.25

The matter has been disposed of by Govt Department. If Mr Shama Rao is ~~aggrieved~~ not satisfied by that order he may appeal to the Revn Commr & Govt in the usual course. Records is called for at this stage

26.5.25.

Revn Asst. The record of enquiry may be called for and

Keys 27.5.25.

1925 The Govt are pleased to direct the records of the enquiry held by the Revn Commr & Govt in the matter be sent for

Keys 29.5.25.

681/28.5

NOTE—Contn sheet

WD 0561—GPB—3750—1 8 22

Serial No.

order appealed against has been confirmed.

The Dy Comr's order is without date. Against this order, K. Venkata Ramaniah appealed to the Muz Comr, but it was returned for presentation to Govt.

The apltts are the descendants of Mr Shama Rao.

May the appeal petition be forwarded in Comr for report? A stay order is prayed for

Submitted for

orders

8/1/25. The Subramanya Swamy temple in the Fort, Bangalore is a Muzrai institution, and in the complaint of Shama Rao, the alleged mismanagement was enquired into and the Dy Comr finding that there was some degree of mismanagement has appointed by Dharmadarsi to manage the institution of whom

WD 0561—GPB—3750—1 8 22

Appellant & the respondents who are related to each other as father-in-law & son-in-law are members.

The appellant has now appealed against the D.G. Com's order on the grounds mentd in paras 6 & 7 of the appeal petn and requests a stay order.

A perusal of the records may perhaps be called for now to stay order seems fit.

SLA
8/8/95

The action taken by the D.G. Com is under the Mer Regn. For the gross and persistent mismanagement must be proved. So far as Com before them was the D.G. Com's order, no such gross mismanagement is apparent. The non performance of Madhavanandam and the Spl. Offr Shaka in the absence of public Comm. amount to gross mismanagement. The petitioners further the Enquiry under Sec 8 might be a formal Enquiry, and from what the appellants says in their petition the Enquiry seems to have been very perfunctory, an Enquiry seems to have been very perfunctory, and Report. The records have to be examined in the Case The Com may perhaps be admitted for a stay order issue

10.8.95

Rec'd Dep

REVENUE AUG. 225 SECTION

and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. Ug of 1925

Serial No

6

A report was read may be called for. No stay order seems necessary

D 11/8

11.8.25.

1.8.25

357/128

I agree

11.8.25

D/f Submitted

17.8.25 17.8.25

Has a report been received yesterday.

18.8.25

7 From, The Muz. Comr.

Letter no C. 1221 dt 14.8.25

Reports that the case is one in which action has to be taken by the Dy. Comr. under sections 8 and 9 of the Muz Regn, and suggests that the case may be remanded to him for a full enquiry into the allegations of misappropriation etc. made by Mr Shama Rao and for taking suitable further action under Sec. 9 of the Muz. Regn.

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

G.R.S. III-2]

File No. of 19

General and Secretar

Serial No.

Muzrai Secretariat | Muz. File No. 269 of 1924

Serial No.

30) Submitted with reference to the members minutes in para 19 of the Office-note.

31) The record now received consists mainly petitions of Mr. Shama Rao and all the records connected with the case have not been received.

Mr. Shama Rao's complaint from the very commencement is that with a view to hide the misappropriation of money, his opponents have filed during Sub Divl. Officer's enquiry, a forged document dt. 16-10-1924. ~~two pages~~

The documents refd. to and the entire records connected with the case, have not been received, and if directed, these papers will be called for before remanding ~~Mysore 0561-GRB-250-28-22~~ for further investigation and disposal

Submitted

2-5-25

NOTE—Contn. sheet

Secretariat | Muz. File No. 269 of 1924.

Serial No.

3rd) The case may be remanded, as recommended by the Muz Comr & the Dy Comr Bangalore Dt for investigation of the allegations of mismanagement of the temple, embezzlement of the temple money, and the production of a forged document, and send up his finding together with the connected records of the proceedings of the M.C.

Sd.
4.9.25.

As already stated in para 25 supra, the enquiry has been perfunctory as stated by the Revenue. The appointment of Dharmadarsi does not constitute a scheme for future management either. In response to the Revenue's, the case may be remanded to the Dy Comr to proceed according with the provisions of law contained in Sec 8 and 9 of the Regn.

S.G.25.

Rec'd 1st meeting 14.9.25

361/9

NOTE—Contn sheet

WD 0561—GPB—3750—1-8 22

agree
m d z may be made
have the Engg inquiry completed Engg to submit
S.G. 21.9.25. a report this record & file before the
2nd Dec next day 2025

24 425

Muzrai Secretariat | Muz. File No. 269 of 1924

Serial No.	
	D.O.C. Submitted.
	AL 28.9.1925. 29.9.25 Issue 4.10.25
8	To. Mues Commr: No 416 & 8.10.25 Remands the case for further enquiry under Sections 8 & 9 of the Mues Regln & call for a report on the result before the end of Dec next.
9	Another petition (no. 425) from Mr. Shama Rao complaining of the misappropriation temple funds is placed on the file. A D.O.C. forwarding the petition Contra, is submitted. AL 13.10.25 13/10/25
10	To mues commr No 464 & 22.10.25 Forwards the above for disposal Issue 15.10.25.

NOTE—Contn. sheet

WD 0561—GPB—3750—1 8/24

Muzrai Secretariat | Muz. File No. 269 of 1924

Serial No. 11

Another petition no. 557 from Mr Shama Rao complaining of the misappropriation of temple funds, is submitted.

The petition which is addressed to the Hon. Diwan, is received with the following order —

Previous papers if any relating to the case, may be put up and submitted.

The Hon'ble Minister in charge of the case is remanded to the Muz. Comr. for further enquiry and report. (S.C. 15)

Petition dt 10th Oct. 1925 from Mr Shama Rao on the subject, was forwarded to the Muz. Comr. in Centre, and that report is awaited.

May the petition be placed on the file?

Submitted 8/6-11-1925

NOTE—Contn. sheet

WD 0561—GPB—3750—1822

Muzrai Secretariat | Muz. File No. 2624 of 192 6

Serial No.

u.s) Previous papers are put up as directed [illegible]

For perusal.
7.11.25.

202
9.11 | 1st member

u.s) Yes

1074

11.11.25.

11/11/25

u.s) H.P. Fin.

16/11/25

u.s) Done
18.11.25.

13 | From, The Muzrai Comr.
Letter no. C.1221 dt 28/12/25.
2.1.26
Forwards a copy of the

NOTE—Contn. sheet

WD 0561—GPB—3750—1 8.22

Muzrai Secretariat

Muz. File No. 269 of 1926

Serial No.

X Bangalore Dy. Comrs. order directing that Sri Brijaneya Swamy temple in question be taken under Govt. management under Sec. 9 of the Muz. Regn.

X 1) The Dy. Comrs. order is dt. 28-11-1925 does fully, into the facts of the case.

2) The Muz. Comr. reports that though the inam has been ordered to be taken under Govt. management, 6 months, according to Sec. 12 of the Regn. have to elapse for the order being given effect to.

3) It is further reported that no misappropriation of any particular sum of money was disclosed before the enquiry as complained

NOTE—Contn. sheet

WD 0561-GPB-3750-1 8 22

Re...nue
riat,

mwz File No. of 192 G

Serial No

by Mr H. Shama Rao
for Submn. for orders
C AV
9.1.26.

The DJ Comr. enquiry and the reports of the enquiry sent up by the DJ Comr show that the allegations contd. in Shama Rao's petitions are all groundless. But as no accounts of receipts & expdre are kept by the men in charge of the temple, and as one of them Venkataramaniah has been setting up an exclusive title for the mutt., the DJ Comr has ordered the temple being taken under Govt. management. It states that a set of Shanabhogs will be appd as soon as the Amildar takes charge of the mutt.

The DJ Comr's order seems to require right. The M.C. Comr may be recommended, with the request that early action should be taken to appoint a set of Shanabhogs and to sanction a scale of income & expdre

Also the books & accts may also be called for. The above suggestion may be approved

Rev. Sec. 1

35/15.1

9.1.26 Mr. Mudaliar

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

App. F. III—2]

File No. of 192

General and Revenue Secreta

Serial No.		

and Revenue Secretariat

Revenue File No. 1869 of 1924.

Serial No

The Collector may be asked to obtain a list of properties belonging to the temple with a statement of probable annual income from each and to forward a regular scale of expenditure for submission to Govt for the year 1926.

He may also be asked to see how his withdrawal is taken over and the future management and effective arrangements made for the supervision of the appointment of a suitable Committee of Panchayatdars and report within the expiry of the period of 6 months prescribed in Sec 12 of the Madras Regn to submit a report to Govt in this matter

19/1

Dy. Secretary. For approval.
20/1/26 20/1/26 20/1
Pervisal.

576/21/1 V.P. received 20/1 21/1

22/1 21/1

14. 20.
Inam Comms. No 908 of 25.1.26.
Calls for a list of properties belonging to the temple with a Statement of the probable

Note—Contn. Sheet

WD 01264—GPB—40,000—17-12-25

General and Revenue Secretariat

File No. 269 of 1924

Serial No

income of the temple & requesting him to fix a regular scale of expenses for the temple, to see that the system is taken under Govt management & effective arrangements made for its supervision etc & calls for the submission of a final report in the matter to Govt.

15/1/25

NOTE.—Contn. Sheet

Raja Mantra Dhoreena

To Raja Mantra Dhoreena.

Raja Kumara. Bangalore

M. A. C. I. E.

Dewan of Mysore

Bangalore
Dt 16-24.

Most Honble Sir

I visited your quarters on 5th inst. in person
respects In humble Submission of my telegram
saying so now to our Excellency His Highness the
Maharaja of Mysore on 6-3-1925.
in re of self Protection by me
Defence — Commissioner Mr B. Venkatarama Rao
when passing Final orders on 30-1-25
in re of misappropriation of 15000 Rs. to
Government — & to the management of
Sree Anjaneya Swamy temple by encroachment in
to Mysore municipal limits by the defence
Commissioner as per orders of the
Mysore Commissioner. on 7-5-24 Dc 137
I official Memo. No 665 & 245. 31-12-24
7-5-24 20/10/24
Detail complainant will follow in person
II if the Dewan permits me to hand.
his personal enquiry as requested
in my complainant application on
III 30/3/25 to the Dewan Sahib - Bangalore —
Since a years the undersigned family
are suffering very much —
by the way of assault &
humiliation Time to time C.A. &c

Daughter Padmavathi

Vayas aged 25 years. who has
Lost her husband in 1920. having
Young Child of 4 years. was assaulted
by Unknown Persons in the Compound
Yesterday the 4th people - on 21/3/25

This complaint was brought to me
this morning notice to the Asst Superintendent
of Police — Mr Mohamed Hussain
Sahib in my report. no - 50. when
occurance had happened. — 21/3/25
the Girl is in very dangerous
Condition in the Victoria Hospital
Ward No 4 in Unconscious bed. —

by the kind Grace of Mr. Mohamed Usman
Senior Surgeon & the Resident Surgeon
Mr C. Narayana Rao. in the Victoria Hospital
if you kindly have a visit to the
poor Girl Selection & give me
a hope of her recovery. we
all thought God bless you a very
long life of prosperity with
your honourable Family
More in person —

Beg to remain
Esteemed Sir
Your most obedient Servant
H Venkata Rao

28-3-1925

Mir Usman Ali Khan

Mir Hamza Hussain Sahib Bahadur
Esquire B.A. B.L
Dewan of Mysore

Bangalore.

Excellency

Sir — As personally represented to you on 30/3/25
In continuation of the Telegram to His Highness
Shree Krishnarajendra Wadiyar G.C.S.I
Maharaja of Mysore — on 6-3-1925
which was submitted — by me. beg to submit
the original the resident Magistrate's ~~original~~
order to me by Magistrate Con 4. 12/24
in his official memo. No 118. — & application
in prosecution of the parties there who have forged 22-10-24
Submitted in original Bangalore Telug 21/3/25
II
1st Class Magistrate. order. for your Excellency's
kind consideration. I beg your. these
Complaints applications submitted
before you. may kindly
be ordered to be read. in my presence
by having deputy Commissioner
1 Mr B. Venkata Rao.
2 Mr K Chandy
member of 2nd Councellor
Bangalore
3 Mr National Special Magistrate
4 Mr Eswaran resident Secretary Bangalore
5 Mr R. Thimaji Rao of Police
Bangalore
Amildar Bangalore.
6 Mr M. Ramanatha Rao resident Revisadar
7 Mr Krishna Rao Head accountant
Bangalore
Deputy Commissioners office Bangalore

If In this Connection the unsuccessful attempt referred to by the Resident Magistrate in his order 118. vide 4. 12/24. are typical their attempt to murder all of my family since 1/11 but God almighty thwarted my family Though the Resident Magistrate arranged. Bangalore not, have taken any serious action by, himself/ against the murderous parties as noted in 22 10/24 of attending my duties Grantors unless Family Vide petition. The Deputy Commissioner has Sir Lucien Dc. Victorian Venkamachen on 15-2-1925 shown concern to me. If His Excellency knows me full well since the beginning of my life at Mysore since 45 years. I am a poor man and luck Stuck up to Hon'ble evening my official Service. I have no money to please Police authorities to escape as the armed party came daily her headquarters my Dancer, I am living in a Mysore institution under my protected Father Arche Mahadeva Ramiah & possible of Mysore through the Main help of Mr A/para Modliar Late Arche Bukshi of Mysore in 1874. & his son Mr Venkatasami Modliar Late Hugh Treasury officer Bangalore 1890 & Mr Sheshadri Iyer's Dewan time. So far I know when I was Volunteer in the Chief Commissioners office Bangalore

the time of my official
career since 1892. I arranged to avail
through the help of Big Merchants & all
officials in the Revenue office and
other Comptrollers Office &c - by getting one
Anna Half Anna - 2 Anns - 1 Cash Sperugi
erected Free Anjaneya & Sri Ganesa Temple-
Mantapa & Compound
in the Fort Gate - Bangalore &
And arranged for the Golakha Ganesa
through the public of Bangalore - And
arrangements for the festival expenditure
in Madhava Vanam, annual performances
Gokha became, have been usually credited
to the District Treasury in the Name
of Venkatachariar & Co Army in the
Fort, because it was a Magisterial
Institution - thus the money
Cannot be drawn easily by the
So called Chairmen Venkatachariar.
VI I enclosed the Note re Kesavaiah being later in Law
By perusal the final orders 1903
passed on 30.1 by the Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore. he 25 Expressed the
Gross persistent Mismanagement of the Golakha
by Venkatachariar instead of Expressed
misappropriation of 1500 Rupees.
If he has gone through the
Whole Records & the basement of
the Western Courts Premises Karle

VII this connection on 2nd

Chelleng's order to the 1st class
Distillate Bangalore today close
to get all the Mysore records offered
for the Mysore Commissioner Complainant
which has begun since 22-2-1926
together with forgery application
signed by 54 men that is
Murder Party men - Chief man
one Gollan Chinappa in the fort.
Chief approver in a Murder
Case caused by his own brother
Venkata Sami to one Moolier in the
fort, Bangalore during the British
administration 1886 - Death Sentence passed
to Murderer Venkata Sami the present & the
VIII only Mr B Venkata Rao Dy Insp Comm
witness in a Mysore case
Bangalore. not at all pleased even to see
Mysore Commissioners order in his appeal
order ± 45 Enclosed my application
saying that I will be Murdered
by Gollan Chinappa
him in case of Anjanaya Swami
temple would be converted to Mysore institution
Although the criminal conviction, confirmed to the
Mr Venkata Rami, is my own father
Law given his own daughter to me never
Cares to Murder me along with his Son in
Law advocate Mr J A Narayana who is the main instrument of the
to the Murder Party Gollan Chinappa in the
fort — Bangalore — who also resides
Vengalore to the Murder party
house -

case of ~~material~~ 7 lives of
the Undersigned ~~family~~
please visit my quarters in the
~~fort~~. ~~Ask~~ the Police Asst Superintendent the whole records.
which was received by me 6th
Magistrate - Bangalore

193 — 485 — 612 — the
25/11/24 — 18/11/24 — 26/1/25

instant Magistrates order —

168 — 162 — together with
4-12-24 — C. CP. 17. 1/25

~~Forged~~ Documents ~~placed~~ by
Gollan Chinnappa. Murder Patel
on 22-10-24. the ~~statement~~ the
Forged Document — Consult Mr.
J A Thomas his Advocate Bowring
Hospital Road — Comes Mr B Venkatesh
has — my wife C. Thomas
[illegible] 28/11/24
1441 [illegible]
[illegible]

Commissioner
Venkata Rao. if he has gone
out — through the whole official
correspondence. 245 — 22.10.24 — P C 137
by the Mysore Commissioner
on 31 12/24 certainly the report
Commissioner had full powers
to arrest - 54 men —
along with the accused —
M. Venkatachariar and V K
Krishna Rao. Gollan Chinnappa
those who are already convicted
Convicted in a city Magistrate
Court — in a theft case & PC
379
The report — Commissioner Bangalore
will never please official
records even if it comes by the
department Head. although
Mr K Chandra himself prefers
him officially along with the
applications — . 16 . 10/24 .
22 . 10 — 18-12-1924 letter
24 P.C 137 officially —

If the Dewan takes no action
regarding my representation -
already made to you on G. 3/25
in continuation of my Telegram to
His Highness the Maharajah of
Mysore — containing how my
family will be murdered.
Very shortly — the present —
Amildar my Thanajee Rao
through the help of own Co —
Brother my Father Rao.
Advocate — Bangalore who is also
residing at Basb. combined with
with the Mysore Police — men 10 house
men — will certainly do harm —
If you delay, the main protection
of lives is very important in all
the Government have undertaken in medical
the charitable ways. I with Dept
my wife are ready — for the
rewards in case of our
free Anjanaya Swamy temple
I have been converted into the
Muzrai institution after 6 months
yet dispute Commissioner is delaying

Commissariat for not. even to
the Golaka Parade to Government
H. H. &c. not removed from the inside of
the door H. And [illegible] door
not shut— Cutlery made to Vaeek
As health officer already —
notes in his inspection memo —
in the Month of March 1925
to the Municipal Commissioner
Baldwin
The Magistrate Comm orders in his
memo 665 to pass orders under
Section 7. 7-5-24
the velvet Comm
have given his Golden Cano to Mr Krishna Rao
draft who is bayoneted to the wounded parties
to hand Formal Enquiry
again that caused me Loss of 3000
Rupees. by plaint all of my jewels
& House hold Vessels &c.
Wooding of stones. only
by Medical stamps for the
Case of the above Anjaneya
Swamy. — Even now for the
Criminal actions the velvet
mark means to spend stamps. Zamindar
Jan a Koor man drawn only 16-8.
Inspection by Municipal Commissioner H. H. Rao
Government

In bringing these sorrowful facts to the
Notice of the Honble Petitioners
respectfully hopes. that the Honble will
take pity on his wretched Situation
And though the Subject matter of the
petition is one which Concerns purly
Mysore. we are Amentable Misappropriation
of 16000, by the criminal Conviction Perk
Venkatarayanaiah of the Sadar Court
& As already — we pray Commissioner
Bangalore passed Final order
30-1/25 Saying Peroutant of Grass.
Mismanagement of the above Venkatarayanaiah
who has forged the Document Placed
before the Subdivisional officer Bangalore
On Mysore Enquiry 24-10-24 although
a Con given the account for 118.4.12
57. Rupees, accusing the Petitioner
Hogrove and 25 or 00 Rupees
& Signed
by Murder charges. Office clerk Peshkar
Vizagapatam this case falls under Sect
460 IPC I don't know what
made the Petitioner Commissioner to Set
the Important Remarks This
Great Suspicions to the Petitioner

even now no action taken for the
Imprisonment of Government & the Golaka
Memorial
Cannings of 3 5 &c in the inside of the
envelope most important representations
the Deputy Commissioner on 22-2-1924
he is in the hands of District Registrar.
Dr. R. Ramanatha Rao - Dr. K. Krishna Rao
Head Accountant Deputy Commissioners
office Bangalore.

Even now the cancellations of
the arrest Laws Concerned meeting
in the District Magistrates orders

62 1/25 7 C.P. 118. not at all been enforced neither
personally nor by preventive measures by the Police-
& Police authorities are transferring records like post office
wholesale. We 24 Negated by the 6th Magistrate
nor by the Assistant Superintendents
as ordered in 183 4025 612 of the 6th Magistrate
25 II 1924 26 1 25 of Police
the petitioner Mon, he there will be
lives danger to his family as stated
by the murder party. Gokak chinnappa of the
accused & Anegundi stood as surety for his Appearance
8 in Hospet - 16 11 24 and Mysore
the Maharaja Palace of Mysore on
6-3-1925. - for this act of grace the
Memorialist prays in dark bound for
his long life & prosperity
Respectfully Be pleased to remain
Humble Kinsmen Ghanta Ramappa
Near palace - Mysore 25 - Petitioner

Rev. See
Circular in prev.
Petn. Comptn. P.R. 28/5/25. [illegible]

GEN. & JUDICIAL SECRETARIAT
30 MAY 1925
MUZRA

Bangalore. 183

Reyes Nam

Veeran of Mysore

Bangalore.

Esquire Sir

Submitted for orders.

Copy by the Deputy Commissioner on 30/1/25

I have the honour to request your Excellency

7 R 5th V 6th - Praxima after paying

a visit to Government House - he

appointed time for the next Monday

the 13th April 1925 - on that day there

11 - was no time to pay my respects to Your

Highness - Shree Khasheraja Wadiyar Bahadur

Maharaja of Mysore - praying for

the of Mysore Case No 1441/24-25

the justice & Self - protection by R.V.

B Venkata Rao. Deputy Commissioner

Mr Venkatarao Regd. directed to arrest Munden

Pantiyan with the accused

on the day when Deputy

Commissioner passed final order

on 30/1/25 Shree Anjaneya Swamy Temple Bangalore

orders to the City Magistrate

4. 12 - 1180 to the City Magistrate

Bangalore. to try the Case Co-cognizance

offence under section - 307. 468

- 500 - I.P.C. for which he wanted to remand

111 - - For which the City Magistrate

Bangalore referred to the Assistant

Superintendent of Police on 25/11/24

in No 193 - 405 - 612 - 1/612 on the

18/11 C.C. 26/1/25

Complainant's counsel 1/25 Evidence

for the Police investigation whether
persons are habitual offenders are
to be placed to the risk. May also be by the Asst
by furnishing the records - bonds - under
Section 110 Cr PC. to avoid
unnecessary Costs to the Complainant
Iv - For which an Asst Superintendent
of Police Mr Mohamad Hussain referred
to the Police Inspector in the month of
November - 1924. Cilla Post. office together with the
And then if there is any reminder
then asked the Head Constable
to investigate the case & then to other
division Inspector - then to Gunadam
to Wuttadam - then to Police Constable for
V - this sort of 24 investigations brought to the
- Hand notice of the Inspector General of
Police - Mr Bowring when he visited
the Devadas questions on the 5th
proximo - regarding Complaint against
the Asst Superintendent of Police with the Cadjed
often years exchange - the Tuticorin
Mayor Commissioners Memo. on 30 1/25 12
forwarded 12 P.C 137 to the Deputy Commissioner
At 31 12 Bangalore - in official Memorandum -
VI The Deputy Commissioner Bangalore -
Mr B Venkoba Rao without perusing
at least the main contents of the application,
as per Head acquainted his subordinate's
guidance, it was filed in the Records

of Misappropriation 15000.

by the accused Venkataramaiah

Thanigiri Vanarajah Bhatta in the Sree —

Anjaneyaswamy Temple in the Tanr. Bangalore

Taluk that was privately entertained

since 1903, when the petitioner was posted

as a probationer Cadjan Inspector by the Excise

Commissioner petitioner entrusted the

whole responsibility to the accused —

to see the affairs thereof and to keep

Venkatarama Ramaiah, because as he is

father in law given his own daughter

1-2-50 thinking that he will never deceive the

Gods. Accordingly by the way of earning

in the Godeka amount he stated by the

depty Comm Mr B Venkoba Rao

honourable Wonder as Mismanagement

instead of Misappropriations.

This Venkataramaiah was criminally

convicted in a theft case in the

city Magistrate court. 1913 — 1914

in Criminal Case No 293. Chief

Court Confirmed the punishment

in the very month when the party

had appealed.

The accused Venkataramaiah has

selected the Murder parties through the

Chief aid of his Son in Law Mr J Appa Rao

Advocate — B A B L. to Murder

the petitioners family As it will be

seen if the Devan Bahadoor.

by attacks the Victoria Hospital

~~with my~~ ~~to the Daughter~~

Padmavati Bayee ~~by~~ ~~Asweltiq~~ ~~Vbn~~

Mones.. ((Pz Encia) ~~Time not Yet Arrived~~)

The Girl is in a dangerous Condition in the Victoria

Hospital Vide History 1. 4. 1925

Work by the Lady doctor Examination on 1. 4. 25

the Police and Superintendent never

moved leaving his office the occurrence

Was happened Vide close by to the

Hospital Superintendent Granting

many representations had received by the same

X This was brought Kind notice of ~~the~~ said

the Dc was M/s Miss Hanifa

Hussain Satish On 30/3/25 in Vide

Vide Postal certificate. Enclosed to kind perusal

M/s Mohamad Hussain and Superintendent

never attempted to ~~to~~ his investigation

although the Cbk Magistrate referred to

him on 25/11 193 ~~485~~ 8/12 ~~612~~ 16/1/25 the

Cbk Magistrate 24 Bangalore

when he visited Kalaspalya on

6/4/25 he dismd all noticed the implement

@ 25. ob. d. a drawn by the Murder

Party on 1/24 night Saturday 1924

November with the help of Khalya

Venkatasiah Naidu

Please peruse my Complaint 4/24

Was IID officially Communicated to

the Cbk Magistrate Bangalore

Was another reminder complainant
to the district Magistrate Bangalore
on 12 2/25 together with necessary
stamps this was received by
referring to the former memorial
Magistrate + no disposal —
He took cognizance of No. 21 3/25
and kept the records as it is,
because as he has no power
to try the case as a cognizance
offence on 12. 2/25
The Court delay received
by assaulting to my 4 th
daughter aged 25 years
daughter, who is still under
the treatment in the Victoria
a Hospital if he Dewan
kindly visits the place to see the
in patient the woman ja Wand 11 no 24

XIII The Government order a
great Vagabond in the town —
enlisted the petitioners grandfather
with some other vagabonds
Set up Tiger to my
old sister when she was

desire was to see that the high degree of sanctity, piety and prestige which this ancient Peeta founded by the renowned Vidyaranya at one time enjoyed was in some degree restored. And it is this desire that actuated them in vetoing unhesitatingly the claims of the two candidates ~~x~~ that were openly and avowedly urge at the meeting and in leaving the matter in the gracious hands His Highness the Maharaja.

The members present were well aware that there was some attempt to put forward local candidates by persons connected with the Mutt in recent years and they were determined to set their face against that attempt also.

5. One other matter requires special reference. It will be recalled that the Sri Onkaranandanatha Swamigalavaru claims to have received " Bahudaka " Sanyasa from the late Sri Vidyasankara Bharati Swamigalavaru of the Mutt and on this ground he bases his claim to succeed to the Peeta. The representatives on behalf of the Swamigalavaru produced before the audience what evidence they had in support of this contention, viz., the alleged "Srimukha" from the late Swamigalavaru to Sri Onkaranandanatha Swamigalavaru where the expression " " is used, the petition of the citizens of Rayavellore supporting the contention of the giving of Asrama by the late Swami to Sri Onkaranandanatha Swami, various representations from Bangalore, Dodballapur and elsewhere asking for the appointment of Sri Onkaranandanatha Swamigalavary etc., etc.,. A copy of the "Srimukha" alleged to have been written to the claimant Swamigalu by the late Swamigalu of the Mutt sent by the former and received in this office after the meeting is also enclosed for perusal. The majority of the audience were not in a mood to accept the contention on behalf of Sri Onkaranandanatha Swamigalavaru and some of the members openly challenged the claim (Vide proceedings).

Among the gentlemen who took part in the discussion besides those already mentioned who spoke in favour of Sri

Onkaranandanatha Swamigalavaru were Mr. L. Venkatesaiya, B.A., B.L., Advocate, and Member, Legislative Council, Mr. V. Nageswara Iyer, Pleader, Kolar, Mr. Narasimhaiya, retired Kanada Pandit of the Government High School, Kolar, and a Kannada Scholar, and several Loukika and Vaidika gentlemen of respectability and standing spoke against the views expressed by the advocates of Sri Onkaranandanatha Swamigalavaru.

There is a certain amount of feeling that the selection of a successor should be confined to the Velnad sect of Andhra Brahmins on the ground that the Mutt is a Velnad Peeta. I can discover no authority for this proposition and several of the Velnad members themselves present at the meeting did not support it and advocated a selection from a wider field. At the request of the Bangalore and Doaballapur representatives votes were taken on this point and it was found that 40 were for selection from among the Velnad sect and 41 against such a limitation.

Finally, it gives me great pleasure to record that Mr. P.R. Subramanya Sastri on behalf of the meeting expressed satisfaction at the impartial manner in which the meeting was conducted and the wide latitude that was given for the free expression of all views on the subject.

7. Before concluding it may perhaps not be out of place if I should express my own personal views on the question formed after a free and frank consultation with many leading gentlemen genuinely interested in the welfare of the Mutt. For reasons already expressed in my D.O. letter No. O.C. 2486/24-25 dated 7-9-1925 it seems to me that the nomination of Sri Onkaranandanatha Swamigalavaru alias Sri Brahmavidyanandanatha Bharati Swamigalavaru now at Bangalore would not give satisfaction to a large majority of the devotees in this district Nor is it desirable to select any one closely connected with the members of the family who in recent years had the management of the Mutt under their control. For these reasons I think that the resolution adopted

at the meeting that the nomination may be left in the hands of His Highness the Maharaja is a very wise one and deserves favourable consideration.

The petition received with your letter No. 1226/24-25 dated 25th September 1925 is herewith returned as desired.

- - - - - - - -

/ C o p y /

C S Balasundaram

Muzrai Commissioner.

My

L.B.R.

C/12267 Office of the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

Dated Bangalore, 22nd October 1925.

23

GEN. & REV SECRETARIAT. 2 OCT 1925 MUZRAI

From

C. S. Balasundaram Iyer, Esq., B.A.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,
Bangalore.

3 OCT

To

B. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Revenue Department.

Sir,

In continuation of this office letter dated 14th October 1925 regarding the succession to the Sri Avani Matha, I have the honor to forward herewith a letter dated the 14th instant received from Mr. M. Venkatakrishnaiya, President, Mysore Velnad Brahmana Mahasabha, submitting a resolution of the Sabha in the above -- matter and to observe that the position put forward in para 2 of the letter seems to be untenable and cannot therefore be accepted.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
C S Balasundaramayer
Muzrai Commissioner.

KSR.

The main file has been submitted to the first member. The point has been discussed in it. This will be found in the file when received back from the 1st Member with orders.

24/10/25

Submitted in continuation
24.10.25
1st member

194
24.10.25

Bypo MB/1750

C 122 & 8 24-25

To

C. S. Balasundaram Iyer, Esq., B.A.,

Revenue & Murrai Commissioner in Mysore,

Bangalore. 2157

Sir,

I, the undersigned, President of the Velnad Brahmans Mahasabha of Mysore, beg to bring the few following lines to your kind consideration:-

1. His Holiness the Swami of the Velnad Mutt at Avani in the Kolar District passed away a few months ago. On the 20th of September last, a meeting seems to have been held at Kolar, under the presidency of the Deputy Commissioner and a recommendation seems to have been submitted to Government for the nomination of a successor to the Swami in consultation with the authorities of the Sringeri Mut

2. As the Avani Mutt is purely a Velnad Mutt, I on behalf of the Velnad Sabha, beg that you may be pleased to recommend to His Highness that the privilege of selecting and proposing a suitable successor to the Swami may be bestowed on the Velnad Community of the Mysore State and that the present decision arrived at in Kolar may be held in abeyance for a period of three months, within which period the Sabha undertakes to suggest the name of a suitable candidate in consultation with the leading members of the community in the different parts of the State.

For this act of Kindness, I, on behalf of the Sabha, shall ever feel grateful to you.

A copy of the resolution of the Velnad Sabha on the subject is herewith submitted for your kind perusal.

I beg to remain, Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

M. Umesha Shastry

President,
Mysore Velnad Brahmana Mahasabha
Mysore.

Mysore,
14th Oct. 25.

Lubs.

orders of Govt. in the matter will be awaited

20/10.

Submitted 20/10/25 Send this to Govt. with the observation that the position put forward in para 2 cannot be accepted

CBS 21/10

MYSORE VOLUNTARY [illegible].

Resolution.

(3) "Resolved that, in the matter of selecting a suitable successor to His Holiness the late Swami of the Velnad Mutt at Avani in the Kolar District, the decision arrived at in order in a Meeting held under the presidence of the Deputy Commissioner may be requested to be recommended by the Highness' Government, that the Avani Mutt being purely a Velnad Mutt, in whose name may be prayed for, to restore the privilege of selecting a successor to the Swami on the Velnad Community of the Mysore State, and that the Sabha may be permitted to submit their proposals within a period of three months and that a copy of this resolution may be submitted for favour of consideration to the Deputy Commissioner, the Government of [illegible] the Chiefs and to His Highness the Maharaja."

"Yours [illegible]

[illegible]

"Yours obediently

(Signed) [illegible]

President.

[illegible]

Secretary.

16209

No. 1053

Am: One

OFFICE OF THE PRIVATE SECRETARY
TO HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE,

Mysore 13-10-1925





D. No. 468

Petition, as shown below, to His Highness the Maharaja is transferred to the Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, Revenue Department, Bangalore, for disposal

ST 17/10

By Order,

No. 384 of 1925-26

for Private Secretary
to H. H. the Maharaja of M.

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY
384
12 OCT 1925
H. THE MAHARAJA

ఆ రాజ్యసభ్యుల పేరు ఎంతమంది ఎన్నుకోవలసి వుంటుంది

ఎన్నికల నిర్వహణ కొరకు ఎన్నికల అధికారి నియమించబడతారు

ఎన్నికల అధికారి ఎవరు

ఎన్నికల అధికారి ఎవరు

ఎన్నికల అధికారి ఎవరు

ఎన్నికల అధికారి ఎవరు

ఎన్నికల అధికారి ఎవరు

ఎన్నికల అధికారి ఎవరు

ఎన్నికల అధికారి ఎవరు

ఎన్నికల అధికారి ఎవరు

ఎన్నికల అధికారి ఎవరు

ఎన్నికల అధికారి ఎవరు

H. Sreenivasa Rao

A Venkatarama Bhatta

P. Ramaji Sastry

S. Veerabhadra Rao

CRRajaRao
Sub-Asst-Surgeon.
Surjapur Division

L. D. Nulakanda Rao
Surjapur.

KPadmanabha Rao
Head Master.

S. Gundu Rao.

S. J. Ramma Rao

Ramachendraiah

Pursuant to the
decision of the
Member V of the
Regulation dated
the first meeting
12 Noon on 30-10-25.

మైసూరు రాజ్యమందలి వివిధ కోర్టు సెలక్షన్ గ్రేడ్ సబ్ జడ్జీలు.

(1) సన్ 1925నే సెప్టెంబర్ 20 రల్లి రాజధాని మరళి స్వామి గళవరన్న ఏర్పాటు మాడుత్తిరువ విచారణాకె శేషాద్రి రమణరావ్ రవరు అధ్యక్షరాగియల్లి మత్తు శిష్యవర్గగళు మత్తు అభిమాన సంఘగళ అభిప్రాయ తిళియలు కోలారదల్లి మీటింగ్ నడెసిదరు. ఆ మీటింగినల్లి కోలారద ప్రజెగళు భాగవహిసిద్దరు. మైసూరు రాజ్యదల్లిరువ ఇతర ప్రదేశగళింద బంద వర్గగళు బడుగరెయాగిద్దరు. ఆ మరళి మైసూరు దేశద మ్యాంగళూరు చిత్రదుర్గ బేలూరు, సేలం ఈ జిల్లాగళల్లి శిష్యవర్గగళు బడుగళాగిరుత్తారె. ఆ ప్రాంతగళింద యారూ మీటింగినల్లి బందిరలిల్లవో. మత్తు నమ్మ సన్యాసిగళ మహాస్వామిగళవర రాజధానియల్లిరువ దరింద ఈ రాజధానియల్లిరువ మత్తద అభిమాన సంఘగళ శిష్యరుగళ అభిప్రాయవాదరూ తిళియ బేకాద్దు మున్నూచనెయిరుత్తె. సదరి మీటింగినల్లి బందిరలిల్లవర అభిప్రాయదంతె నడెదళల్లి విచారణెయల్లి ఎష్టరమట్టిగె భాగవహిసిరుత్తారెంబ ప్రశ్నె సర్కారదవరు కేళిద బేకాగి ప్రార్థిసుత్తేవె.

(2) శ్రీ బ్రహ్మవిద్యానంద నారాయణ భారతి స్వామిగళవరు గతిసిద (ఎ) స్వామిగళవరింద కాంగ్రెస్ కార్యకాగి ధనసహాయ మొత్తవన్ను స్వీకార మాడువంతె కరకరపుల మంత్రాలయదాగి ప్రతిష్ఠిసిద మరుచరగాగి ఇరువ ప్రసన్న వరదరాజస్వామిగళవరల్లిరల్లి గతిసిద (బి) స్వామిగళవరు దంగుబాలదిరువ స్థితియిందా తిళియు బరువవల్లదే స్థలదిగే భూన్యవాదాగిరువ మత్తు దిందయా ఇతర స్థానగళింద యాత్రార్థి బందిరల్లి మడుళరిగళింద వ్యక్తవాగువల్లదే గతిసిద (బి) స్వామిగళవరు దయమాడిసిరువ

శ్రీముఖద్ని నాంపు చేత్తచుంచారవుంటి కొంతులు ఫ్యాళ్ళళ్ళే బంచు నింతనంతర నిత్తు అల్లిగే బంచు నమ్మ లోజ్ఞాప్రకార మంతధర్మ గళ్ళన్న నడిసికొంతులు బరబేకేందు వశ్యేరి అప్పనే హేంతిసి రుక్కారే. ఆశ్రీముఖద రాపియన్న రమ్మ చరాంబరిగే బగ్గే ఇదర చుంగతలగళ్ను వుంటిరుత్తేవే.

(3) ఈ లోవనిమంతద చురంపుటియల్లి బ్రహ్మ చారిగళు, గృహస్థాశ్రమిగళు, సదా బందిరువంతే శిక్షణలు బరుత్తే. అల్లదే శరభగడ్డరు శ్రీశైలంగేరి మఠ చరంచరియల్లి సదా బ్రహ్మ చారిగళు. గృహస్థాశ్రమిరు బందిరుక్కారే. ఆచ్చరింద ఈగ ఈ పీఠక్కే గృహస్థాశ్రమదల్లిద్ద విద్వాంసరాగి చక్షిణ వింశోత్తరవాగి వైదికాచార చుంపన్నిగెయింద కర కమల చుంభితరాగి బందిరువ శ్రీబబ్రువిద్వాన్ నంద నాంథ భూరతి స్వామిగళ్ళవరన్న ఏర్పాటు మాంతువచరింద మురద చుంశ్రమాంగళల్లి అవిచ్ఛిన్న చరంపరెగూ గతిసిద శ్రీస్వామిగళ్ళవరు వుంటిరవళ్ళి శిష్య స్వీకారకొళ్ళ లోభ బరదే - భక్తాగ్రహవరు కాంచాంతరవాగి స్వాధీనపుత్తేవే.

(4) కేవల బాల్యావళ్ళియల్లి కరళ్ళ బ్రహ్మ చారియన్న ఏర్పాటుమాడి సన్యాస దీక్షెయ మేంతిసి విద్యాభ్యాసచుంగవు, ఆశ్రమ ధర్మగళు మేంతలాద్ద కేళ్ళసి అభివృద్ధిగే కరణీభూతరాగి బదుళిదంతల్ల జీవిసిద బ్రహ్మ నష్టవాగి - కాంచీపురదల్లి చరియుత్తిదవరు శిథిలే వయస్సు బందుదాంగిల్లా అవర వృత్తి బదలాంయిసి ఆశ్రమ ధర్మక్కే లోప బందు ఇదరింద చుంభవిసబద్ద దోషగళింద మహాప్రళయ గళు సంభవిసి ప్రతిమెగళు భూరణాగబేకాగుత్తే గృహస్థాశ్రమ ధర్మవరిగే బ్రహ్మణవల్లదంత్త చుంభవిసబద్ద దోషగళు అవరిగే వుంటాగి భూదిసుత్తే. సన్యాస దీక్షాధారవుంతకర్మ గృహస్థాశ్రమిగళు బ్రాయశ్చిత్త క్రియెగళింద శుద్ధరాగి సన్యాసదల్లి బరుత్తారే. సన్యాసిగళు మాంతకర్మ దోషగళింద యావదనేయల్లియూ రుండ బ్రాయశ్చిత్త కృష్ణ శుద్ధరాగువదిల్లవాగి సన్యాసిగళు మాంతకర్మ దోషగళు సర్వథా బ్రాహ్మ వాగుత్తేందు వేద శాస్త్ర పురాణగళు ఘోషిసుత్తేయాగి ఇంథా అనర్థగళింద అవరాశ్రమవిద్దీయు భక్తాగ్రహవరు యోగ్యవిద భోగాంగ బ్రాధీభూతుత్తేవే.

(5) మతాధిపతిగళు శిష్య స్వీకార మాడిదరుండరి ధర్మార్థక్కే శిల్పిదే భవాంధి లిదరరువాంయు ధర్మార్థరద్దు వాంద పుంటూంగి ధర్మపరిశీలనే మాంతుశ్వా ఇద్దారువ నవ్వ ధర్మార్థరదవరు ధర్మవన్న కాంచాంతో వడెర్తిగి సురుగళింద లిఖదీక్షచ్చాంది రరరంపుల భంతాంతరాంగి బంద శిష్యర్గళ్ళ శిరభ్రరిచదే మత భంప్రదాయవన్నే తోంచచ్చిద్దదే విచ్ఛిన్న పరంపరెయే అవకాశకొంతదే మతాధిపత్యవన్న శిష్యరాంగి బందవరిగే వంశా రు మాతి కాంచాంతి కొట్టాంతం బంది రోంద్రాంగాంగిరుత్తే. ఒప్పదరంతెయిల్లా ఈ వుపరద్దు నడదిరోంద్రాంద రింద ధర్మార్థరదవరు ఈ (శ్రీ) ప్రహ్లాదాచార్యరిచ్చి నందనాంధ స్వీకారవి ఛ్రాంమిగళవరు గరిందిద ఛ్రాంమిగళవర రరరవుల భంతాంతరాంగి బందిరుత్తిరువుదు ఇల్లవే ఎంబుదద్దు ధరిసిల్లనే మాంతి గరిందిద (శ్రీ) ఛ్రాంమిగళవరింద కర్ణాటక ప్రమువాగద్దు వేంధు ధృతరాష్ట్రవధిషి ఎకోద్యాగరాంగిరుత్తు యూరన్న పరూ ఏర్పాటు మాడ బేకాంగి ప్రార్థిసిద్దుత్తేవే.

(6) చొల్లంత సింతించిన్నద్దు (శ్రీ) ప్రహ్లాదన వుపరద రరరంపరేగతిరువుదు త్రీ వ్యంగేరి (శ్రీ) ఆనగద్దురు మఠద వరింద కర్ణాటకాంగ్రహవవ్వ కొట్టిదు బేకెందు వన్నేర ఆనుజ్ఞాప్రాంయుగళు బందిరుత్తే ఈ ప్రహ్లాదన మతవు ఆనూచీనవాగి బ్రహ్మతంత్ర వుపరవాగి ధ్వరోంద్రాందరింద ఆదరే రంందురవ్వంతే మాంతి (శ్రీ) ఆనగద్దురు మఠద్దు స్వీకార మాడిదవరు బదలాగి (శ్రీ) వ్యంగేరి వుపరద్దు (శ్రీ) ఆనగద్దురు మఠద వరు ధరంద్రాంవే వన్న చ్చిద్రాంది దగాంచద్దు మాడిద్దు ఆనుజ్ఞాప్రాంయవరు ధర్మార్థరవరు చాలా ధ్వరోంద్రాంగి (శ్రీ) ఆనగద్దురు మఠద వరింద నూతనవాగి ప్రహ్లాదాచార్యరన్న దత్తుతీసుకొంది ధన్యాకారవు గీంతి ఉరువుదంతే ప్రహ్లాదన మతవన్న నడిసి. మతద్దాధిపత్యవన్న ప్రీదలాంగాయుతో నూరు శ్లోకాంతు బగెదిరువ ధర్మరక్షక్కే తోంచిదు పూంతరవే - కాంతిత బేకాంగి ఈ మగ ఆనుజ్ఞాప్రాంయవా నిరు కే.

ధర్మాధికారి

(శ్రీ) వ్యంగేరి ఆనగద్దురు మఠద వంగాంపుతిద బి. బి. సవాశ్రీ ప్రకాశి.

B. Sumadhwacharya
Dharmadhikari
Sri Vyasarajamatha
Puttur District
Guruvappa

M. Subrahmania Sastri
Retired Head master of Many Inglis.

Proprietor,
V. B. Soobbiah & Sons' Printing Works.
30-10-25

నం. 2113 శ్రీశంకరాచార్య సంస్థానం

రుజు మత్తు మొకాం రంగనాథుడు చూచి

శ్రీమత్పరమహంస పరివ్రాజకాచార్యవర్య పదవాక్యప్రమాణ పారావార పారీణ యమనియమాసన ప్రాణాయామ ప్రత్యాహార ధ్యాన ధారణ సమాధ్యష్టాంగ యోగానుష్ఠాన నిష్ఠాగరిష్ఠ తపశ్చక్రవర్త్యనాద్యవిచ్ఛిన్న గురు పరంపరాప్రాప్త షడ్దర్శనస్థాపనాచార్య వ్యాఖ్యానసింహాసనాధీశ్వర సకల వేదార్థప్రకాశక సాంఖ్యతయ ప్రతిపాదక సకల నిగమాగమ సారహృదయ వైదికమార్గ ప్రవర్తక సర్వతంత్ర స్వతంత్రాది రాజధానీ శ్రీ విద్యానగర మహారాజధానీ కర్ణాటక సింహాసన ప్రతిష్ఠాపనాచార్య శ్రీమద్రాజాధిరాజ గురుభూమండలాచార్య తుంగభద్రాతీరవాసి ఋష్యశృంగ పురవరాధీశ్వర శ్రీ శృంగేరి విద్యాశంకర దేవ దివ్య పాదపద్మారాధక శ్రీ విద్యాశంకరభారతీస్వామి కరకమల సంజాత శ్రీ శతశృంగపర్వత సన్నిహిత విలసతోత్తరాహాల పుర పీఠాధీశ్వర శృంగేరి శ్రీమదభినవోద్దండ విద్యారణ్యభారతీ స్వామినాం కరకమలసంజాత శ్రీ శ్రీమదభినవోద్దండ విద్యాశంకరభారతీ స్వామిభ్యాం భ్యాం కరకమలసంజాత ~~శ్రీ బ్రహ్మవిద్యానందనాథభారతీస్వామిభ్యాం~~

అన్నదవ్యంత శ్రియు ముఖ్య శిష్యుశ్రేష్ఠన చుది ఓంకార వీరం నుబ్రహ్మణ్యశేషయ్య శర్మణ శివపూజాద్య నుష్ఠాన భవన శ్రేయమందున్న శ్రీకైలాసేశ సారాయణి స్మరణ భ్రూవర్ధమునా స్రాయించిన శ్రేమముము ఈమచ్ఛాదును సంగొంది స్మర్థముంచు నిలచి భవదీయా నా శ్రేయోభివృద్ధి గూర్చి తెవర్సు చిరస్థిరాకారము ఘనర్సి

ఈ వీరము బ్రహ్మపూర దేశం ధనంజయం సంవత్సరం వలనని భగవత్ప్రేరణ వై వ్రాసేటాని వల్ల మీరు ఈ మా సంస్థానానికి వచ్చి భవన్నాశ్రమ దివ్యకారం అవ్వడాని దయు చూయు వలెనని మీరు బ్రహ్మవిభము స్వీకరించిందాని వల్ల నిలయోత్సవ శోభలు ల్పాది గుణములున్న భవదీయకార సంపత్తిన్నిన్ని లోకాశ్చర్యం మోద త్వేన కాంక్షిస్తాన్నిన్ని సదస్తిత్వ ప్రకాశాలన్ని మీలయందుండాలి గురు భక్తిన్నిన్ని కలిగిఉండి ఈ దినం మీకు సంస్థాన పద్ధతి ప్రకారం పూర్ణకుంభం చేయవల్సిన అనుసరించి యథాశాస్త్రీయంగ ఆశ్రమాన్ని అనుగ్రహించగలరు. ఆశ్రమ ధర్మాన్ని కాపాడుతూ సంస్థాన రాజ్ఞి శ్రీకారం చేత సంపూర్ణం చూస్తూ ప్రజలకు వచ్చాశ్రమము

ఉన్నతాధికారులకు విన్నవించి వారిచేత సంతకాలు యోగక్షేమాలు
ధృవీకరించి మీకు చూడ్డానికి క్రొత్తవారులయందు సంచారం చేస్తూ ఉ
న్నాగాని మీ దీవెన చేతనాదిని వదిలిపెట్టకుండా సంతోషాన్ని చేకూర్చు మం
త్రాలయం మఠాధిపతులను కాపాడుతూ రావలసిన సకల మంత్రాక్షతలను
చూపే బడేఖానీ గ్రామం

గ్రామ సంపదలు
అభివృద్ధి
మంగళకారము

రుజువు మేరకు

(నిజప్రతి)

Dear Mr. Hiriyannaiya,

With reference to your letter dated 3rd October 1925 to the Huzrat Commissioner reporting the proceedings of the meeting held by you on the 20th September 1925 in connection with the nomination of a successor to the Avani Mutt, I am directed to request you to furnish information on the following points:-

(1) What is the total number of people who attended the meeting? How many of these were disciples of the Avani Mutt and how many were non-disciples of the Mutt Also how many of them were residents of the Kolar District and how many were people belonging to places outside the Kolar District?

(2) In giving votes on the points decided on at the meeting, whether only the disciples of the Mutt were permitted to vote or others who were not disciples were also allowed to take part in the voting?

Yours sincerely,

(Revenue Secretary)

Guru cannot attend the meeting held at Kotahena under the presidency of the Mg. Coor the selection of a successor to the Araui Guru another meeting may be ordered to be held either at Galle or at Vidarawatta places very centrally situated and the disciples appear an opportunity to murder in numbers and express their opinion in the matter.

ఎందుకో కొంత విలక్షణ మహాత్మా భావన

ఈ లేఖని రుజువు మాతృరూపంలో నాంపల్లి లైబ్రరీ వారి
పేర్లున్న చిత్రపటం

MW 268-24-9 GEN. & REF. D no 608

సన్ 1925-లో ఇచ్చిన లేఖ్యాలలో 30లో మహాత్మా

ఈ లేఖనంలో ఉన్నది ఎంత యున్న పేరు రూపంలో
పేరులోని పేర్లు నామాలు లేఖ్యాలలో విస్తృతి
ని పేర్లలు అవశ్యక పేరుల్ని చేర్చి నామాలు స్థిరమైన
అంశములోకి.

పేరులోని చరిత్ర తెలుగులో రచించారు
మహాత్మా అన్నపూర్ణ కేయాల్లో నతేడ వివరించిన
ఉత్తరం వ్రాసిన వారలు అవశ్యకాంగన చేసిన
లుళ్ళు పత్రికలు లేఖ్యాలు వివరించిన
త్తాదేళ్ళలో చాలా చరిత్ర వుంచి అంతం రచించిన
గర మహాత్మా 1925లో తిరిగి లేఖ్యాలలో
24లో మహాత్మా పేర్లు పేరులోని త్తాదేళ్ళలో
త్తాం చిత్రపటం రేఖాంకితమైన. (శ్రీ వరం ఇచ్చిన లేఖ
రచనలు లేఖ్యాలు పేరులు రచ్చ రచ్చ లేఖ్యాలు
త్తాయిగళ్ళలో పేరులు రచ్చ రచ్చ

ఫ్రీ ఫోల్డ్ మంజీందు మీటింగ్స్ నకిదలు అవకాశా
లేంత భోకాశియూ మర్చు అంధాం ఫ్రీ ఫోల్డ్ అడ్రస్
రవస్ట్రేరేగల్లిగే అళుశంల వారిరు వంధాంచాగియు
ఫ్రీరువ మంలూరు అధ్యా సేంధారిచిపబ్లిండు
శాల్యూం విదురాంక ఫ్రీ ఫోల్డ్ యూశంధ్రాష్టలి
ఫిదురి మంరద శిష్యావధ్యదవరు ఫ్రీరరంగ్ చేంగళ్లిగా
తిర్మిస్టేగ అళగళ్లిగా. మర్చు సేంలార దిస్ట్రిబ్యూగ
ళగగళ్లిగా. మర్చు మి ప్రపిష అంళ్లిదిద చరంగ్
ళగగళ్లిగా మర్చు అంప్లే వారిరుంచే. విదురాంక
ఫ్రీ ఫోల్డ్ యూ శ్రీ ఫ్రీ ళవారిద అళేరం లుశ్రీ
రుదింద ట్రాంజరలు చిద్ది వారిళు శ్రీరగే. ఫ్రీ
అంద ప్రేగవాద శుంగరద లుద్దేశ్యగళు
శిష్యళ్లి ధైరశేరువంతిదు చూంశ్రీ సుంవేన

తారీ 12-11-1925
ఇంతి

తమ్మ లుశ్రీరర విశ్వాసాలుంద
Keerakadai
V. Subramania Ramayya
Supervisor
Rly. Dt. Survey
తిరుక్కళుకుండ్రం-చింగ్లేపట్టు రైల్వే
ఆ. ఎం. శ్రీనివాసరావుగారికి
అర్పణ చేయడమైనది.
చిరంజీవి వి/మురళీకృష్ణ

Munijundayya
Assist Supdt
Rly Survey

K Subbarao
Recd and Corrn

B. Lakshminand
Head Clerk, Dist. Judge's Court Bangalore City.

[illegible]

[illegible]

Postmaster
Bangalore City

S.V. Nippanna

Asst. [illegible]
The Mysore [illegible]
B.

C. Ramachandriah
Store clerk
M A S M Ry
Work Shops
Bangalore city

[illegible] P. Lakshmanan, [illegible] Road, Bangalo[re]
[illegible] 294 [illegible]

[illegible] Narasimha Sastry, M.A.
Headmaster, A.L.S. School, B[illegible]

S. Venkataramany: Overseer, [illegible] Road, Bang[alore]

D. G. [illegible] a Retired Camp clerk.
G.R.P. Bangalore

P.R. Subrahmanya Sastri B.A.
Retired Headmaster, & Hon[orar]y magistrate. Bangalore

A. Narasimhamurti, Retired Assistant Comptroller

GEN. SEC. 23NOV MUZR

Mur 268-24-10

No. 6.C.2486/24.-25

Confidential. Office of the Deputy Commissioner, Kolar Dist.

Dated, Kolar, 16th November 1925.

20

Dear Sir,

With reference to your D.O.letter No.534/Muz.268-24-8 dated 5-11-25 asking for information on certain points concerning the meeting held in connection with the nomination of a successor to the Avani Mutt, I write to state as follows :-

(i) It is not possible to give exactly the total number of persons who attended the meeting as attendance was not marked but may state that the hall of the Purnaiya's choultry was quite full. The meeting was advertised for 3 P.M. but the hall began to fill in only about 3-30 P.M. and by about 4-30 P.M. it was quite full.

Neither was any record kept of the number of disciples and non-disciples of the Mutt who attended the meeting. Except three gentlemen, viz., (1) Mr.M.S.Ramachar, Pleader, Kolar, (2) Mr. Dharma Rao, Retired Amildar and (3) Mr.Narasinga Rao, Pleader, Kolar, the rest of the persons were all of the Smartha persuasion. Two Non-Brahmin gentlemen attended the meeting, viz., Mr.Channappa (Lingayet) Taluk Sheristedar, Kolar, who was on duty helping the Amildar and Mr.Chikkachannanjappa (also Lingayet) who came in in the middle of the meeting but neither of these two gentlemen took part in the discussions or in the voting.

Mr.Dharma Rao left the meeting long before the voting and Mr.Narasinga Rao did not take part in the voting but I understand that Mr.Ramachar did vote on some of the propositions.

It will be seen from the published notice convening the meeting that all " disciples, devotees and people interested in the Mutt " were invited and all the Brahmin gentlemen present (except the three mentioned above) were either disciples of the Mutt or persons interested in the Mutt as belonging to the Smartha community. This feature was common to both the sides who took

part in the discussion. For instance, Mr.Subramanya Sastri who led the discussion and espoused the cause of Sri Onkaranandanatha Swamigalavaru and participated in the voting began by saying that he was not himself a disciple of the Mutt but only interested in its welfare.

No objection was taken at the meeting by any one on any side on this point ; on the contrary when one of the members said that he was not a disciple of the Mutt another member replied that such a hard and fast distinction did not strictly apply and that all devotees interested in the Mutt were entitled to express their views. I do not know if a list is kept any where showing who are the disciples of the Mutt and who are not and for obvious reasons the mere ipse-dixet of a person claiming to be a disciple can not always be accepted without reservation. It was for this reason foreseeing the difficulty of a correct discrimination on this point that the notice calling for the meeting was - worded as it was.

The three Brahmin gentlemen who were not members of the Smartha community were perhaps strictly not entitled to give a vote but as only one gentleman is said to have voted it makes no difference in the ultimate result in respect of the main propositions.

Except Messers Subramanya Sastri, V.B.Srikantaiya, - Sarvothama Rao and Ranga Rao who came from Bangalore City and - district the rest of the persons who attended the meeting were all from Kolar district (Kolar town, Bowringpet taluk, Mulbagal taluk, etc.)

(ii) As stated above the disciples of the Mutt as well as those interested in the Mutt were allowed to vote but the Lingayet gentlemen neither were permitted nor did they vote ; among the Non-Smartha gentlemen one gentleman (Mr.M.S.Rama Char) @ is said to have voted ; all the rest were Smartha Brahmin gentlemen some of whom were disciples of the Mutt and some were not.

B650

SUBJECT

Section Mar

File No. 288-24

Serial No. 11

No. 782 / Encl 2 pp.

Diary No. of letter replied to 528

To

The Mun: Comr in Mysore,
Bangalore.

General and Revenue Secretariat
(Mun.)
, *the* *192*

Sir,

Date of issue. 2.1.26

Signed by Mr Navaneetham Naidu

I am directed to refer to the correspondence ending with your letter no. C. 1226 of 24.25 & 14.10.25 forwarding a copy of the Kolar Distt Dy: Comr's letter no. C.C 2486 of 3.10.25 in regard to the proceedings of a public meeting held at Kolar on 20-7-25 by the Disciples of the Sri Avani Mull for the selection of a swamy to the mutt. I am directed to state that it is seen from the letter of the Dy: Comr dt 2.10.25 that the meeting of the P.D.

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

over

at Kolar was not confined to
the disciples of the Mutt, but
that other persons claiming to
be interested in the Mutt were
allowed to attend it and take
part in the voting, though
some of them (e.g. Mr. M. S.
Ramacharya) did not even
belong to the Smartha community.
Even with regard to the
disciples of the Mutt, though
it has a large number of ~~disci~~ ~~them~~ disciples
in the Bangalore and Kolar Distts,
only a few persons — mostly
from Kolar and places near it —
seem to have been present at
the meeting and taken part
in the proceedings thereof. ~~In~~
~~circumstances~~ The resolution
passed at the meeting cannot,
therefore, be considered to
represent the views of the
general body of the disciples
of the Mutt, who are the persons
most interested in the selection
of a suitable person for the

G. R. S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Continuation sheet draft form.)

Vac and Arab, and are therefore entitled to be counted as absent if

In the circumstances, I would consider that the best course will be to have another general meeting of the Disciples of the Mutt held by the Dy: Coll: after giving wide publicity to the fact and to ascertain their views about the succession to the Mutt. Only persons ascertained to be Disciples of the Mutt should be allowed to take part in the discussions or in the voting at such meeting which may be held at Kolar, Malur, Bowringpet - preferably Kolar, as being more convenient to the Disciples, or at some other suitable place.

Seen. The question will be discussed on the Dy: Comm: Kolar's tour programme & a report of the steps taken for the postponed meeting as early as possible with your final recommendations

Papers returned

30/12

3/1/26

Secretary.

Rev. Secretary

9/1/26

GPB—20,000—7 7 14

SUBJECT.....

Section Inward

File No. 263-24

Diary No. of letter replied to... 537

Seria No. 13

No. 321

Revenue

To The Interpreter Commissioner

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 192

Sir,

Date of issue 26/1/26

I am directed to forward herewith petn Regd No 537 d; 25-1-26 from Dr Rama Shesha of Kaza praying in the matter of the election of a successor to Sri Anam Ramayya to enquire and to instruct the village Munsif to give notice to the petitioner, intimating him the time and place of the enquiry proposed to be

Signed by...

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

over

in connection with the
Succession to the Throne.

I have &c

W Lee Scott to Cook

25/1/26

1226 of 1926

From

The Office of the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

Dated Bangalore, 30th January 1926.
2-2-26



Mur 3 6/1



Mur 268-24-14

B. Ramaswamaiya, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,
Bangalore.

B. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Revenue Department.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.732/M.F.268-24-11 dated 2nd January 1926 in the matter of succession to the Avani Matha, I have the honor to forward herewith copy of letter C.C.No.2452/24-25 dated 24th Instant from the Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District, stating that as Kolar town offers better facilities for travellers and ---- visitors than Bowringpet, he proposes to hold the meeting at the - former place and to request that in the circumstances explained, the Deputy Commissioner's proposal may be approved.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
Ramaswamaiya
Muzrai Commissioner.

KSR.

D.O. C.C.No.2452/24-25 dated 24th January 1926 from the Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

"I am in receipt of your Memo No.C.1226/24-25 of the 5th/7th - January 1926 forwarding for information and further action a copy of the Revenue Secretary's letter No.782/Muz.268-24-11 dated 2nd January 1926 in the matter of succession to the Avani Matt. It is suggested therein that another general meeting of only the disciples of the Matt may be convened for ascertaining their wishes at Kolar, Malur or -- Bowringpet - preferably the last mentioned place, as being most ---- conveniently situated for the purpose. As Kolar town offers better facilities for travellers and visitors than Bowringpet I propose to hold the meeting in Kolar town. Kolar is only a forty minutes' ----- journey by rail from Bowringpet and I have no doubt that the disciples of the Matt particularly the orthodox section from whatever quarter they might come would prefer Kolar to Bowringpet."

"C o p y"

for Muzrai Commissioner.

G.-R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT .....

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, the *192*

Section Misc

File No. 768-24

Serial No. 15

No. 1034

Date of issue 13/2/26

Signed by .....

To The Mag Cuv

Sir,

With reference to your letter no. C. 1226 of 24-25 dated 2nd Feb 26 recommending approval to the proposal of the Kolar Bd Com. to hold, at Kolar town, instead of at Bowringpet, the meeting in the matter of the succession to the Kolar Town Municipality and Municipal Council

over.

WD C632-G B—180 (7/16 8

39
1/2

as
State that Bowringpet being
on the main R.[illegible] line, ~~will be~~ is
more central & convenient, ~~for~~,
for persons coming from the
District than Kolar, ~~and~~
~~request that~~ the DC may ~~Thompson~~ be asked to
arrange & hold the
meeting ~~here~~ at Bowringpet.

Have re

8/2

8/2/26

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

N B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied or slips pasted on this form.

G.R.S. III—31

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record]

N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form